RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA AUTHORIZING THE CITY ATTORNEY TO COOPERATE WITH THE LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES, AS WELL AS OTHER CITIES AND COUNTIES, IN LITIGATION CHALLENGING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF ANY SEIZURE BY STATE GOVERNMENT OF SANTA BARBARA'S SHARE OF THE STREET MAINTENANCE FUNDS GENERATED BY THE STATE HIGHWAY USERS TAX

WHEREAS, the current economic crisis has placed cities under incredible financial pressure and caused them to make painful budget cuts, including layoffs and furloughs of city workers, decreasing maintenance and operations of public facilities, and reductions in direct services to keep spending in line with declining revenues;

WHEREAS, since the early 1990s the state government of California has seized over **\$10 billion** of city property tax revenues statewide, now amounting to over \$900 million each year, to fund the state budget even after deducting public safety program payments to cities by the state;

WHEREAS, in his proposed FY 2009-10 budget the Governor has proposed transferring \$1 billion of local gas taxes and weight fees to the state general fund to balance the state budget, and over \$700 million in local gas taxes permanently in future years, immediately jeopardizing the ability of the City to maintain the City's streets, bridges, traffic signals, streetlights, sidewalks and related traffic safety facilities for the use of the motoring public;

WHEREAS, the loss of almost all of the City's gas tax funds will seriously compromise the City's ability to perform critical traffic safety related street maintenance, including, but not limited to, drastically curtailing patching, resurfacing, street lighting/traffic signal maintenance, payment of electricity costs for street lights and signals, bridge maintenance and repair, sidewalk and curb ramp maintenance and repair, and more;

WHEREAS, some cities report to the League of California Cities that they will be forced to eliminate part or all of their street maintenance operations while others will be forced to cut back in other areas (including public safety staffing levels) to use city general funds for basic street repair and maintenance. Furthermore, cities expect that liability damage awards will mount as basic maintenance is ignored and traffic accidents, injuries and deaths increase;

WHEREAS, in both Proposition 5 in 1974 and Proposition 2 in 1998 the voters of our state overwhelmingly imposed restriction on the state's ability to do what the Governor has proposed, and any effort to permanently divert the local share of the gas tax would violate the state constitution and the will of the voters;

WHEREAS, cities and counties maintain 81% of the state road network while the state directly maintains just 8%;

WHEREAS, ongoing street maintenance <u>is</u> a significant public safety concern. A city's failure to maintain its street pavement (potholes filling, sealing, overlays, etc.), traffic signals, signs, and street lights has a direct correlation to traffic accidents, injuries and deaths; and

WHEREAS, according to a recent statewide needs assessment on a scale of zero (failed) to 100 (excellent), the statewide average pavement condition index (PCI) is 68, or "at risk." Local streets and roads will fall to "poor" condition (Score of 48) by 2033 based on existing funding levels available to cities and counties.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA BARBARA AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. The Council hereby directs the City Attorney of Santa Barbara to take all necessary steps he deems appropriate to cooperate with the League of California Cities and other cities and counties in supporting litigation against the state of California if the Legislature enacts and the Governor signs into law legislation that diverts the City's share of funding from the Highway Users Tax Account (HUTA), also known as the "gas tax," in order to fund the state general fund; and

SECTION 2. The City Administrator/City Clerk may send this resolution (with an accompanying letter from the Mayor) to the Governor and each local legislator, informing them in the clearest of terms of the City's resolve to oppose any effort to frustrate the will of the electorate as expressed in Proposition 5 (1974) and Proposition 8 (1998) concerning the proper use and allocation of the state gas tax funds; and

SECTION 3. That a copy of this Resolution opposing the City's opposition to the proposed HUTA re-allocation shall be sent by the City Administrator to the League of California Cities and to other community groups whose members are affected by this proposal to create unsafe conditions on the streets of our City for pedestrians, bicyclists and motorists.